Stantec Analytical Validation Checklist

Report No. ATG02	
------------------	--

Project Name: Amtrak North Yard	Project Number: 213402048
Validator: Linda Goad	Laboratory: Eurofins/Lancaster Laboratory
Date Validated: 12/3/2018	Laboratory Project Number: 1813983
Sample Start-End Date: 6/14/2017	Laboratory Report Date: 7/24/2017

Parameters Validated:

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) by EPA SW-846 3550B/8082 - soil matrix

Percent Solids by SM 2540 G-1997

Samples Validated:

D-3(5.0-5.3), LLI # 9051664

D-3(5.5-5.8), LLI # 9051665

D-3(6.0-6.3), LLI # 9051666

DUP-13, LLI # 9051667

D-3(6.5-6.8), LLI # 9051668

D-3(6.5-6.8)MS, LLI # 9051669

D-3(6.5-6.8)MSD, LLI # 9051670

E-3(0.0-0.3), LLI # 9051671

E-3(0.5-0.8), LLI # 9051672

E-3(1.0-1.3), LLI # 9051673

E-3(1.5-1.8), LLI # 9051674

E-3(2.0-2.3), LLI # 9051675

E-3(2.5-2.8), LLI # 9051676

E-3(3.0-3.3), LLI # 9051677

E-3(3.5-3.8), LLI # 9051678

E-3(4.0-4.3), LLI # 9051679

E-3(4.5-4.8), LLI # 9051680

E-3(5.0-5.3), LLI # 9051681

E-3(5.5-5.8), LLI # 9051682

E-3(6.0-6.3), LLI # 9051683

VALIDATION CRITERIA CHECK

Validation Flags Applicable to this Review:

- **U** The analyte was analyzed for, but not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- **J** The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- **J+** Result is estimated quantity but the result may be biased high.
- **J-** Result is estimated quantity but the result may be biased low.
- The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.
- **NJ** The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.
- **B** The analyte was detected in the method, field, and/or trip blank.
- **R** The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.
- 1. Were all the analyses requested for the samples Yes No submitted with each COC completed by the lab?

Comments:		
Did the laboratory identify any non-conformances related to the analytical result?	Yes X	No
Comments: The laboratory noted that the surrogate decachlorobiphenyl exceeded E-3(1.5-1.8) and E-3(2.0-2.3).	the acceptance wind	low in samples
3. Were sample Chain-of-Custody forms complete?	Yes X	No
Comments:		
Were samples received in good condition and at the appropriate temperature?	Yes X	No
Comments: Based on the laboratory sample receipt form, the samples were receive custody seals.	red by the laboratory	without
5. Were sample holding times met?	Yes X	No
Comments:		
6. Were correct concentration units reported?	Yes X	No
Comments:		
7. Were detections found in laboratory blank samples?	Yes	No X
Comments:		
	NA Yes X	No
Comments: No field blanks were submitted with this sample delivery group.		
	NA Yes X	No
Comments: Not Applicable, Level II data validation.		
10. Were surrogate recoveries within control limits?	Yes	No X

Comments:

PCBs: Recoveries of the surrogate decachlorobiphenyl (DCB) were greater than the Delaware Department of Natural Resources (DNREC) Standard Operating Procedures for Chemical Analytical Programs Under the Hazardous Substances Cleanup Act (SOPCAP, Feb. 26, 2015) control limits in samples E-3(1.5-1.8) (193%) and E-3(2.0-2.3) (388%). Detected results for PCBs in these samples were not qualified because the samples were diluted 500X and 1000X, respectively, prior to analysis. The surrogate recovery does not provide meaningful information. Recoveries of the surrogate DCB were less than the control limits in E-3(0.0-0.3) (58%) and E-3(0.5-0.8) (59%). Detected PCBs were qualified J-(estimated with a low bias) and nondetected PCBs were qualified UJ (estimated reporting limit).

Reason code: SUR	(- F	
11. Were laboratory control sample(s) (LCS/LCSD) sample recoveries within control limits?		Yes X	No
Comments:			
12. Were matrix spike (MS/MSD) recoveries within control limits?	NA	Yes X	No
Comments:			
The sample D-3(6.5-6.8) was analyzed as the site-specific MS/MSD	for PCBs.		
13. Were RPDs within control limits?		Yes X	No
Comments:			
14. Were dilutions required on any samples?		Yes X	No
Comments: PCBs: Six soil samples required dilution prior to analysis, with dilution Sample reporting limits were adjusted accordingly. No data were quite sample reporting limits were adjusted accordingly.		ging from 5X to	o 1000X.
15. Were Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC) present?	NA X	Yes	No
Comments: TIC not requested.			
16. Were organic system performance criteria met?	NA X	Yes	No
Comments: Not Applicable, Level II data validation.			
17. Were GC/MS internal standards within method criteria?	NA X	Yes	No
Comments: Not Applicable, Level II data validation.			
18. Were inorganic system performance criteria met?	NA X	Yes	No
Comments:			
19. Were blind field duplicates collected? If so, discuss the precision (RPD) of the results.		Yes X	No

Primary Sample ID	Duplicate Sample ID	<u>.</u>			
D-3(6.0-6.3) for PCBs	DUP-13				
Comments:					
PCBs: PCBs were not de	tected in either the primary o	r the field duplicate	sample.		
20. Were at least 10 per the Electronic Data Delive	cent of the hard copy results rable Results?	compared to	Yes X	No	Initials KEF
Comments:					
21. Other?				Yes	No X
Comments:					
DDECISION ACCIL	RACY, METHOD COMPLIA	NCE AND COMPL	ETENES	C 46666W	MENIT
FRECISION, ACCO	RACT, WETHOD COMPLIA	NOE AND COMPL	LIENES	3 A33E33IV	IENI
Precision:	Acceptable X	Unaccepta	ble	Initials LEG	
Comments:					
Sensitivity:	Acceptable X	Unacceptal	ble	Initials LEG	
Comments:					
Accuracy:	Acceptable X	Unacceptal	ble	Initials LEG	
Comments:					
Representativeness:	Acceptable X	Unacceptal	ble	Initials LEG	
Comments:					
Method Compliance:	Acceptable X	Unaccepta	ble	Initials LEG	
Comments:				•	
Completeness:	Acceptable X	Unaccepta	ble	Initials LEG	
Completeness: Comments:	·	Unaccepta	ble		